1910-11
(Numbers)

File No 69-10
Serial Nos 1-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1 to 10

Part B for June 1911

SUBJECT

Erection of a building by Raja Venu Gopal Bahadur of Madras on S. No. 6 of Halenahalli Village, Bangalore Taluk, in the vicinity of the Palace Grounds.

1) Letter No. 25 dated the 19th July 1910 from the President Bangalore City Municipal Council

Reports that a license was issued inadvertently to Raja Venugopal Bahadur of Madras for the erection of a three storeyed building on premises No. 95 in the Bellary road and that subsequently a notice was issued to the licensee to stop the construction of the upper storeys and to limit the building work up to the ground floor.

2) To the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council - No. 1385 G.R. 58 dated the 10th August 1910.

Calls for further information in

3) Letter No. 152 dated the 24th December 1912 from the Munl. President - Bangalore City Municipal Council

Submits additional information the matter.

4) To the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council No. 6042 dated the 18th Jan. 1912

Calls for a copy of the village map of Malenahalli and the plan given to the President with the application for the license, together with additional information in the matter.

5) Letter No. 179 dated the 21st January 1914 from the Munl. President.

Submits the village map and plan together with the information called for.

5A) To Mrs. Bq. Kumaraswamy Naik, Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dist. - No. 7215 dated the 3rd March 1914.

Enquires whether the S. No. 6 of Malenahalli village on which the Bungalow of Mr. Varagopal Bahadur stands is still treated as agricultural land - the Survey assessment considered, and what the extent of land & assessment are.

5B) D.O. dated 8th March 1914 No. 463 from Mrs. Bq. Kumaraswamy Naik, Dy. Comr.

States that S. No. 6 is still treated as agricultural land and furnishes the required information.

6) Letter No. 215 dated the 13th March 1914 from the Munl. President.

Forwards for information a letter received from

C
Bahadur
int. J.

G.R.11] 19[illegible]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Municipal

NOTES

File No 67/10

FILE HEADING

Construction of a building by Raja Venugopal Bahadur of Madras on the premises No 95 on the Bellary Road

From

The President, Bangalore City Municipal Council

No 25 Dated 19/7/960 Received 21/7/1960

Diary No 518	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Reports for information that a licence was granted inadvertently for the erection of a three storeyed building by Raja Venugopal Bahadur of Madras in the premises No 95 on the Bellary Road & that on the 6th June 1960 a notice was issued to the licensee-Mr C S Doraiswamy Iyer, Public Prosecutor, on behalf of Raja Venugopal - to stop the construction of upstairs & also & to limit the building work up to the ground floor

NOTES AND ORDERS

R

The locality where the building in question is being constructed is outside the prohibited areas as defined in G O No 767 & R 7.55 92 & 2nd July 1892.

2 There appears to be no papers in the Office [illegible]

First sheet

GIB—30000—3-1-61

Serial No.

about Watsons Stables mentioned by the President

3 It is a point for consideration whether the President is in order in modifying the terms of the license issued, by reason that the construction of the building is on a site outside the prohibited area.

It is not necessary to consider this question now. Mlle

4 Submitted for information

HMK 23/7/1910 5/8 Cal 25/7/10 Mlle

278 29/7/10

5 It is a question how far the President's action was in order. Please call for further information regarding the objection taken to the building of Mr watson's Stables

License was issued in sepr 1909. Prohibitory notice was issued only in June 1910.

Is there any G.O prohibiting ~~regarding~~ ~~non-interference~~ ~~obstructing~~ buildings to spring up on private ground outside the Palace ground & not in prohibited area

HMK 27/7/10

Note—Contin. sheet.

Serial No.

6. I Put up draft. 2 note
28 7-10 Jelle

7 draft & chart submitted. There is no order on record, except the one referred to above, interdicting the erection of buildings on private grounds outside the Palace Compound and the reserved area
Pubd 1st Cr 30-7-10 Jelle
29/7

4 may be approved
3 20
1-8-10 1/8/10

7192 Dewan
3.8.10 10 Draft appd by Dewan 2 8-10
U Jelle
4/3
7/8/10

2. From Lt Col Pres. Bangalore City Municipal Council. Jelle 5.8.10
No. 1385 & 10-8-1910
Calls for further information in the matter.

3. From the above officer No. 1528 & 12-10
Submits the information called for above
12 The President who was requested to submit

NOTE—Contin sheet

GPB—50,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

the records, if any, relating to the objection raised for the construction of Mrs Watkins' Stables, states that the objection first raised was subsequently waived but that the records relating to the subject are not forthcoming.

13 The 1st Bro. was also called to state if there is any precedent or rule to support his action in case the propriety of it is challenged. The Bro. does not give a direct answer to this and states that the matter was referred to Govt. for sanction and for cancellation, if necessary, of the leases relating to the construction of the cart-stands

14 Premises No. 95 is reported to consist of Re-survey No. 6 of Malamallahalli village, Bangalore Taluk, measuring 2-5 and assessed at Rs 23. It is 9 furlongs distant from the nearest Railway Station.

15 Submitted for orders with the file relating to the application of Mr. C. Rajagopalachariar for conversion of his agricultural land into a building site. Attention is invited to the Asst Jaghirdar's letter referred to therein.

16 Submitted for orders

3/11/40

GPI.—1,000—27-4-39

G.R.S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 6 of 19 12

Serial No.

1864
5.1.11

This building is not in the prohibited area. The only possible objection is its proximity to the Palace grounds. It is not known to which heights the building would rise. If the Palace authorities have no objection the licence may be renewed

WBH
5/1/11
CBR

There is no plan - sketch of the building in the file

9614
6.1.11

D Cowan

19 May the Mayor map shows the called for. Also the plan given to the municipal Secretary with the application for the licence - From what point to what point is the 7 yardings measured" - [Has the Circle been marked on the Map as I think was once advised] 7 Jan 11

From the Cantonment Boundary to the gateway of the house - CBR 31/1/11

20 Architect W for necessary Early CBR

WBH
9/1/11

Note—Contin sheet.

G R S. 12 1
General and Revenue Secretariat

4246

File No 69 of 19 11

6

Serial No

~~Submitted with draft~~

22 The circle has been marked on the office copy of the Bangalore City map.

Cbm sng

19 1/1/4 22 Issue

11.1.11 Mlle

4 To the President, Bangalore City Municpl

No 6042 & 18 1 11

25 Requests him to submit a copy of the village map of Mallenahalli together with the plan given to the President with the application for the license and to state from what point to what point the distance of 9 ~~feet~~ furlongs from the Cantt Stn is measured

5 From the above officer No 179 & 21

25 Forwards the plan and application for license together with a copy of the village map of Mallenahalli and a portion of the city map showing from what position the distance of 9 furlongs has been

Submitted for orders

26 In the case of Mr C Rajagopala-Charlu's land which is only on the the Ballary Road, His Highness considers that it would be best in the long run to prohibit the construction of a building in

Note—Contn sheet

GPE—50 000—12-8-16

4763

C2

Serial No

3989/67-10 Jt Secy 23/1/11

the vicinity of the Palace. The file relating to this subject is linked with the present file

Submitted with reference to the queries in the Dewans note dated 27th inst

28/1/11

Secy) 31-1-11 Dewan.

28 Dewan

31/1/11

Dewan 31/1/11

29) The towers will be 51 ft from the ground level Such a high building cannot be allowed to be constructed in the vicinity of the Palace grounds A license had been already granted and work has been stopped by order of the President This may be referred

3/2/11

Secy

30 Dewan

31 Shall file subject to the Secy's ruling

7/2/11

Dewan 7/2/11

Secy 8/2/11

10045/8 2 11

Note—Contn sheet

Asst Secy IV 7/2/11

Secy 8/2/11

G.R.S.—50 00 1—12 8 10

~~1887~~ 4216 8

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Ml File No 69 of 1910

Serial No	
	32. Please put up a D-O to the Deputy Comr requesting him to inform whether ~~the~~ Survey no 6 of malemahally on which the ~~land~~ bungalow stands is still treated as an land and the survey thereon is being recovered. 23 2 11 Ille
	33 Draft D.O is drawn ATK 80 orng 23 2 1911
	34 Issue 25-2-11 Ille

P.T.O.

Note—Contn sheet

GPS—50 00 —12-8-10

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Mc File No 69 of 1910

9

Serial No

5 4 36 D.O. No. 7215 dated the 3d March 1911 to Mr. B. J. Kumaraswami Naik Dy. Comr., Bangalore Distt.

Enquires whether S. No. 6 of Malenahalli village on which the bungalo of Raja Varungopal Bahadur stands is still treated as an agricultural land and Survey assessment thereon is being recovered & its extent and assessment

5 3 36 D.O. No. 1663 dated the 8th March 1911 from Mr. B. J. Kumaraswami Naik Dy Comr., Bangalore Distt.,

States that it is ascertained that the S. No. 6 of Malenahalli is still treated as an agricultural land, that the land is 9 acres & 37 guntas in extent and assessed at Rs 23 which is being still recovered, and furnishes details about the said Survey No &

3 Submitted with reference to the Assistant Secy's order in para 32 above

B.M. (19) 15-3-11 mg

P T O

Note—Contn sheet

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

In File No 69 of 1910

Serial No	
6.	From the President, Bangalore City Municipl No. 2158/13.3.1911 38 Forwards in original a letter dated the 6th instant from Raja Venugopala Bahadur of Madras urging for the early settlement of the question regarding the construction of his three storeyed house in the Bellary Road in the Bangalore City. 39 In his Raja Venugopal wants to know (1) whether the Municipality is willing to purchase the the land from him with the existing buildings and indemnify him for all the expenses incurred up-to-date in case he is prohibited from building the upper floor and complete the bungalow according to the approved plan and also to satisfy the claim of the building contractor by reason of a portion of his contract having to be necessarily cancelled or (2) whether he is at liberty to proceed with the construction of his house according to the sanctioned plan, the Municipality being liable to pay all his damages by reason of the Presidents peremptory order stopping the building operations beyond the ground floor He wanted a reply within seven days of the receipt of the letter and the Board has sent a reply stating that the orders of Govt.

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50 00 —12 8 10

Serial No.

are awaited.

41 Submitted for orders. The land
Survey No. (19) on which the building
16/3/11 stands is said to be still
treated as an agricultural land,
and the assessment thereon Rs
23 recovered -
Dewan) 17 3 11 Ille

5
8 3 11 42 Survey No 6 is land assessed for agri-
-culture - The Dy C (or Dr) will be
pleased to refuse permission to
a Bungalow being built on it -

4-37 (2) The correct area & assessment of the
said survey No 6 should be given - In the
papers below the figures are given by Survey
Dy C (or DC) No 463 of 5 March 1911 and
Head Asst No 1524 25 Dec 1910 -

(3) - Both to know the area and
assessment (if any) of Survey
Nos 10 & 11 -

4 9 1 reply (4) Is it correct to say that Raja
Krishnagopal is intending to build on land
bearing survey No 6 ? Is it not rather
Survey No 10 - (vide sketch survey
village map) ? If it is No 10, and
if No 10 is assessed for agriculture
the Dy C may properly refuse permission

Note - Contn sheet

GPB—50 00 1—12-8 10

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 4/7 of 19 1-)

Serial No.

Kindly the house already built by Mrs Kurbus-
-iwamy Appan stands on that
encroachment - But it seems
to me that Mrs K is still answerable
for encroachment because one of the witness
his the survey left for scrutiny
Appellants in Bangalore City was that
the agricultural assessment on
land built upon should be enquired
into whether or not permission had been
granted for the building being constructed
What are the facts ? Ltr to Dy Mr 11

If the new building is Private and Mrs K
if Mrs K is concerned for obstruction,
then the new building cannot
be prohibited, if it is likely to cause
inconvenience to the Palace, the
Palace authorities should be asked to
authorise the acquisition of the land -

Ltr to Dy Mr 11

Shri Thirumalai Rao
Counsellor on
annual allowance
Bay as he may
possibly throw
some light on the
point -

Asst Secy

Please put up the copy of the Kindly
Dy 20/3/11

Draft calling for the information — Issue
is put up. 20 3 11
Issue at once and
forward the file to B & I for verification
21 3-11

Dy 21/3/11 Letter No. 2237-70 By 21-3-11 to Mrs B.9 Kumaran
Cabin

Note—Contn. sheet.

G R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. of 190

Serial No.

for additional information in the matter.

Draft to be issued and file forwarded to Branch II for the information required by the Dewan being furnished.

Mon(2) any
22/3/11

4 O Nº R3639-4
3 26-5-1904
L

4) A copy of the order relating to the re-Survey operations of the Bangalore City and the proceedings connected up. Sd.
25/3/11

4) As the Devanam Engineering officer states that the building is to be constructed or intended to be an addition or extension to a building already existing if a building had already existed there at the time of the recovery the portion obstructing it would have been subtracted and the assessment struck off as the case falls under clause 2 of para 4 of the order of 1906 whether this has been done & how much has been removed from the description of assessed land to be entered

Vide para 35-36.

Note—Contin. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

NOTIFICATION BY THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF MYSORE.

No. 188
The 24th October 1872

The following Notification of the Chief Commissioner, defining the boundaries of the ground reserved for public purposes near the Government Offices and the Division Cutcherry at Bangalore is republished for general information and strict guidance.

Notification No. 15, dated 23rd April 1868

" The following is a description of the boundaries of that portion of ground in vicinity of Bangalore, which has been reserved for public purposes, and on which the Commissioner has prohibited the erection of any building without distinct permission, to be obtained under a grant from the Mysore Government "

" The sinking of wells, or any extensive excavation for any purpose whatever within the area referred to, are also prohibited without permission having been previously obtained. "

BOUNDARIES

" On the north	----	From the Polic Tanna on the High Ground by the Central Jail to the Superintendent's Cutcherry."
"On the West	---	From the Superintendent's Cutcherry to the Cenotaph "
" On the South	---	From the Cenotaph to the Police Tanna at Jammincheri.
" On the East	----	From the Police Tanna at Jammincheri along the boundary of Military limits to the Commissioner's compound and thence to the Police Tanna on the High=Ground.

By Order,

Sd/- J. Puckle,

Officiating Secretary.

NOTIFICATION BY THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

The 2nd July 1892.

No. 769/ R F. 55 -- In publishing hereunder for general information and strict guidance, the late Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 188 dated 24th October 1872, it is hereby notified for the information of all concerned, that the boundary line, within which the erection of any building, the sinking of wells or any extensive excavation for any purpose whatsoever is prohibited by the aforesaid Notification, is as follows -

From the Police near the Yelahanka-gate of the city along the Pete-road up to the Civil and Military Station Boundary Stone XII, and thence along the Civil and Military Station Boundary passing along portions of the Pete, St. Mark's and Sidney roads up to Civil and Military Station Boundary Stone XV from Civil and Military Station Station Boundary XV along Sidney road to the Cenotaph near the Alsur-gate of the City and from the said Cenotaph to the Police Station near the Yelahanka-gate of the City.

II. It is further hereby notified for general information and strict guidance, that by orders of Government dated 7th October 1887, the erection of new buildings of any kind whatsoever (including extensions of existing buildings) is prohibited within the area enclosed by a boundary line as follows -

From the Madras Railway Level-crossing at the Palace South-gate along the Madras Railway boundary to where it meets Miller's Road, thence along Miller's Road to where it meets Cunningham road, thence along Cunningham road to where it meets the Avenue road and thence along the Avenue road to the Madras Railway Level-crossing at the Palace South-gate

III. On lands assigned for public purposes, whether within or without the boundaries described in the preceeding paragraph no new buildings or extensions of existing ones shall be erected

erected without the express previous sanction of the Government.

IV All Government and Municipal Officers are hereby required strictly to enforce the conditions of this Notification.

No - 266

5136

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore 9th MAY. 1927

FROM

D SRINIVASA RAO, Esq, B.A,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey
and Settlements in Mysore

To

B Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law
Secretary to the Government of H H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.P.4052-4 dated 10-2-1927 re areas reserved in Bangalore City for public purposes, I have the honor to state that there is no file of correspondence in this Office relating to the Government Orders of 1868 etc. mentioned in the letter under advertence.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D Srinivasa Rao
Superintendent.

LSR.

G R S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc , not intended for permanent record]

N B —Should routine notes be written on small sl pe the notes must be cipoed or slips pasted on this form

22

BS 26] 650

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to 80

No 8868
ml 69-10-9
27/6/11

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Nungambakkam
, *the* 19

Date of issue 27.6.11

Signed by MM/KMR

Dear Sir,

Referring to your D.O. letter No. 517 dated the 11th instant re the land on which Raja Vungopal Bahadur of Madras intends to construct a bungalow, I am desired to request you to be so good as to direct the District Surveyor to go to me as he is wanted for of your office ~~to forward a sketch of the land~~ identifying the number of the land on which the new is being built

Yours sincerely

Yrs

To B. J. Kumaraswamy Naicker Esq, BA
Dy Comm, Bangalore Dt

SUBJECT

Compare

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPO—48,210—48-2-10

B ar 23 69

G.R.S 26]

File No. 10

Serial No. 10

Diary No of letter replied to 6540

No. 10185 ml 69-10-9 14-6 11

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mural)
, the 19

To
The Secretary Board of The Bangalore City Municipal Council

Date of issue 14.6.11

Signed by

Sir

Referring to the correspondence ending with your letter No 215 dated the 13th March 1911 regarding the ~~in the matter of~~ ~~erection of~~ a three-storeyed building by Raja Venugopal Bahadur on ~~premises~~ Resurvey No 6 of the Mavalavankalle

USER

SUBJECT

294

Makunahalli Village – on the Bellary Road, I am directed to state that permission to proceed with the construction of the building referred to may be granted. I am to observe that the Govt are constrained to cannot help feeling that the case was handled in anything but a businesslike manner by the Local Executive and other Officers concerned and that it resulted in placing the Govt in a very undignified position.

The enclosures to your letters Nos 1798 & 2211 1911 and 215 & 13th March 1911 are herewith returned

I have etc

for Chief Secy

HRK80
9/6/1911

List of enclosures,
note – village map of Makunahalli
D.O. Bellary 27-5-12

11/6/14

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20 000—26 3 10

No. 25 P 518

Enclosure

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
City, Bangalore. 19th July 1910.

From

THE PRESIDENT, Jul 69/10/8C1
Municipal Council,
Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to state, for the information of Government, that a license was inadvertently granted in the usual course on the 24th September 1909 for the erection of a three-storeyed building by Raja Venugopal Bahadur of Madras in the premises No. 95 in the Bellary Road.

2. When the building was in course of construction, it was observed to be situated in the vicinity of the Palace Grounds and not far from Watson's Stables on the same road, the building of which was objected to once before, on the score of its being close to the Palace compound. Accordingly, on the 9th June 1910, a notice was issued to the licensee-Mr. C. S. Doraswami Iyer, Public Prosecutor, on behalf of Raja Venugopal-to stop the construction of the up-stairs and tower and to limit the building work up to the ground floor.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT

IV 4th 10 19 ~~100~~

From 21

The President,
Municipal Council,
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the
H.H. His Highness
Mysore, Genl & Rev

Dated 19th

Despatched 20th July 1910

Received

General No 25

No

Enclosure No

Reporting that a
was inadvertently granted
Raja Venugopal Bahadur
Madras for the erection of
three-storeyed building in
premises No 95 in the Bellary
and that, owing to its
in the vicinity of the Palace
a notice has been issued
Party to cease the
work up to the ground.

GRS 29A]

1910-1911

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev Dept

To The President
Bangalore City Mb.
Commercial

Dated

Despatched 18-8-10

Received

General No 1385

No mlbg-10-2

Enclosure No

His letter no 25 dated the 19th July 1910 in the matter of the construction of a three-storeyed building by Raja Rangopaul Bahadur of Madras in the premises no 95 on the Bellary road

Referring to the above has the honour to request that detailed information may be furnished together with the records

GPB-10000-9-10

Where and under what
if any, as to the circ
cumstances under which
objection was taken to
the building of Mr Watson's
stables and when it was disposed of
2) Licence was issued
to Raja Rampshad Bahadur
for erecting a three storeyed
building ~~to face Beadon~~ in
~~on~~ September 1909 and
the notice prohibiting the
~~date~~ said work was
given ~~only recently~~ on
the 9th June 1910 The
President is requested to be so good as to
state ~~how he justifies~~ if there is any precedent
his action, in case its or rule in support
propriety of
is challenged - Regarded

3 What are
the measures
taken or
on the lands
comprised in what
is called premises
No 95 of Beadon Lane
from the nearest Main Road

Mr Secy
29/8

KWH
1/8/10.

1910-11
1910

From The President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Genl & Rev. Depts Bangalore

Dated 24th December 1910

Despatched 25th December 1910

Received

General No. 152.
No

Enclosure No.

Furnishing further information in re the construction of a three storeyed building by Raja Venugopal Bahadur at Madras in the premises No. 95 on the Bellary Road of the City

No. 152 Office of the Municipal Council
Bangalore City, 24th December 1910.

From

D 4216 The President, Rnl 69-10/12 3.
Municipal Council, Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments, Bangalore.

S i r,

Reference:- Your docket No. 1385/Ml.69-10-2 dated the 10th August 1910, calling for further information in the matter of the construction of a three storeyed building by Raja Venugopal Bahadoor of Madras in the premises No. 95 on the Bellary Road.

I have the honour to state that from enquiry made in the Bangalore Taluk Office, it has been gathered that Mr. H. Donne applied for a license on behalf of Mr. Watson to build stables in the Bellary Road opposite the Palace North compound wall in 1898, that the propriety or otherwise of granting the license was considered by the then Deputy Commissioner Mr. Venkatavaradiengar on account of the proposed stables being close to the Palace and that the license was, however, finally granted. The complete

5

records relating to the subject are reported not to be forthcoming in the Taluk Office.

2. The three-storeyed building of Raja Venugopal Bahadoor is proposed to be built in the same road-a little higher up towards the south. Although the place is not included within the prohibited area as defined in Government Proceedings No 769-8 R F 55-92 dated the 2nd July 1892- probably as it then consisted of assessed Survey Numbers which were under cultivation and as the Vice President had issued the license in the name of the President after taking the opinion of the Health Officer, the matter was reported to Government for favour of instructions and for cancellation, if necessary, of that portion of the license which referred to the up-stairs of the building when I saw the building in the course of construction and observed that it was likely, when completed, to overlook the Palace Grounds

3 I think the Vice President, before granting the license ought to have consulted the Palace Authorities

4 The premises No. 95-on which the building in question is being erected-is situated in the Village of Valenahally, Bangalore Taluk, bearing Re-survey No 6 and measuring 8 Acres 1 Gunta [illegible] at ₹23/- and is situated

6

at a distance of 9 Furlongs from the nearest Railway Station Viz , the Cantonment Station.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President,

Municipal Council, Bangalore City

G&B Sa. 26]

File No 69-10
Serial No 4

Diary No of letter replied to 4246

No 6042
Mc (69-10) of 11-14

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Municipal)
, the 19

To

The President,
Bangalore City Munr.
Council

Date of issue

Signed by Mr K.M.

Sir, Referring to your letter No 152 dt 24-12-1910 re the three storied building which is being erected by Raja Venugopal Bahadur of Madras on premises No 95 on the Bellary Road in the Bangalore City, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish a copy of the village map of Malleswaram together with the plan given to the Pres[illegible] with the application for the licence and to state from what point to what

SUBJECT

Point [illegible] Distance from [illegible] of Fort [illegible]

from the Cantonment Flag Staff measured given in the last para of your letter

Three Sea -

XIII

For Offg. Secy

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—12-8-M

No 179

4763 Encls 4

Office of the Municipal Council,
Bangalore City, 25th January 1911

Ml 69-10-5

From

The President

Municipal Council, Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore

S i r,

As called for in your letter No 6042/Ml 69-10-4 dated the 18th Instant, I have the honour to forward herewith the plan and the application for license together with a copy of the village map of Mallenahalli A portion of the City map is also herewith submitted, clearly indicating therein from what point to what point the distance of nine furlongs from the Cantonment Railway Station to Raja Venugopal Bahadur's premises was measured

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

for President

From

The President, Municipal Council, Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Genl Depts Bangalore

Dated 21st January 1911

Despatched 21"

Received

General No. 179

No.

Enclosure No. 4

Furnishing the plan and the application of Raja Venugopal Bahadur for license to build a three storeyed building together with a copy of the Village Map of Malleswaram &c.

Sur 6 (9) 69

GRS 26]

File No 10
Serial No 5A

Diary No of letter replied to 4763

No 7215
dtd 6-9-10
B 3/3/11

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mysore)
, the 19

Date of issue 3 3 11

Signed by

To

My dear Sir,

35. I am directed to enquire whether Survey No 6 of Malenahally Village, on which the bungalow of Dr Gopal Bahadur of Madras stands is still treated as an agricultural land and the Survey assessment thereon is being received.

Yours Sincerely

What its extent and assessment are and A very early as requested

HK80
23-2-1911

SUBJECT

To B J Kumara Swamy Naik
SC/ST
Bangalore Dy.

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPO—20,000—23-6.19

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore, 8th March 1911

Dear Sir,

36. Your D.O.letter No 7215 dated the 3rd instant, in re the particulars of land on which the Bungalow of Mr.Venugopal Bahadur of Madras stands It is ascertained that the survey No 6 of Malenahalli is still treated as an agricultural land, the same standing in the Khate of Kamalamma wife of Mr Krishna Iyengar. The land is 9 acres and 37 guntas in extent and assessed at Rs 23 which is being still recovered The said survey number 6 is comprised of the following kinds of land

	Acres	Guntas	Assessment
Dry	4	8	Rs 5/-
Garden	3	23	,, 18/-
Phut Kharab	2	6	
	9	37	

Yours Sincerely

To

K.Mylar Rao, Esq ,

Assistant Secretary to Government,

Genl and Rev Depts

D 3585

12

Ml 69-10/16

Office of the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.

Dated Bangalore, 13th March 1911.

No. 215
Rec'd 2

From

B.J.Kumaraswami Naik, Esq., B.A.,
President, City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith, in original, in continuation of this Office letter No.179 dated 21st January 1911, a letter dated 6th instant from Mr.Raja Venugopal Bahadur of Madras urging for the early settlement in the matter of completing his building together with a copy of the reply given to him by me for information of Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

PRESIDENT,
Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To Mr. 12-3-11

1910-11
130

Stamp

From The President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Genl & Rev
Dated 13th Dep.tal Bangalore
Despatched 13th March 1911
Received
General No. 215
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Forwarding in original,
a letter dated the 6th instant,
from Mr Raja Venugopal
Bahadur of Madras, urging
for the early settlement in
the matter of completing the
building together with a copy
of the reply given to him

69 D

B

GRS 26]

File No 6960

Serial No 7

Diary No of letter replied to 5805

No 7770

m 169-10

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Rev)

, the 19

Dated 21-3-11

Date of issue 21-3-11

Signed by

Sir

With reference to your D.O. letter No 4638 8 3 1911 stating that Re-survey No 6 of Malenahalli village, Bangalore Taluk, on which Raja Venugopal Bahadur of Madras intends constructing a bungalow, measures 9 acres 37 guntas in extent, I am directed to invite your attention to para 4 of letter No 1528 dated 24 12 1910 from the Secretary, Bangalore City Municipal Council, wherein it is stated that the extent of the said Re Survey No is 8 acres 1 gunta and to request

SUBJECT

you to be so good as to state what the area and assessment of the correct extent of the said Survey No 10 and also of re-survey nos 10 and 11 of the same village

2. It will be necessary for you to refer to the village map of Malenahalli received with your letter of No 1798 21-1-1911 and to request you to kindly inform whether it is on re-survey no 6 that Raja Venugopal intends to build and it is not on re-survey no 10 of Malenahalli village. The re-survey map of the village may be kindly referred to - If it is on re-survey no 10 that the building is intended to be built, it may be stated whether that number is still assessed for agriculture and the assessment is being recovered -

3 The enclosures viz (1) Raja Venugopal Bahadur's application for to the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore, for license,

List of enclosures,

(2) plan of the proposed building and

(3) Copy of the resurvey map of Malenahalli are herewith sent for reference and return

A very early reply is requested

To

L B J Kumaraswami Naik Esqr
Asst Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt.
and President, Bangalore City Municipal Council

Copied by

Examined by

21/3/11

CPB—20,000—25-9-10

G.R.S 26]

File No

Serial No 74

Diary No of letter replied to D.O.

No 8117 & 38-3 11

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Municipal)
, *the* 19

Date of issue 30 1 1

Signed by W K.M

Sir,

I am directed to request you to be so good as to state at the time of the re-survey, a existed on resurvey No 6 of the village, Bangalore Taluk, and if so whether the portion containing it was, at that time, sub-divided and assessment struck off in accordance with the instructions contained in cl(2) of para 4 of G.O. No R363-48 26 S. 1906 and, in the latter case how much of the land has been removed from the

SUBJECT

6

from the translation of annexed Bill.
A very early reply is requested

Yours Sincerely

Hill

my

To

The Supt. of the Revenue Preventive Service

List of enclosures

Copied by [illegible] 30/3

Examined by [illegible]

[illegible]

17-18

Office of the Superintendent,
Revenue Survey & Settlements in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 1st April 1911

Dear Mr Mylar Rao,

The following particulars gathered from the Survey Records are furnished in reply to your D O No 8117 dated 30th March 1911, regarding Resurvey No 6 of Malenahalli village, Bangalore Taluk

During Resurvey, portions of original survey Nos 79 and 78 were found utilised for building purposes These were, in accordance with the instructions laid down in G O No R 3633-4 dated 26th May 1904, separately measured into a new number, which is now Resurvey No 10, and found to contain 2 acres and 6 guntas, assessed at Rs 4-8-0 The portions that remained out of the two original survey Nos 79 and 78 continued to be agricultural lands, bearing Resurvey Nos 6 and 9 respectively The assessment on Resurvey No 10 ,viz ,Rs 4-8-0 , was struck off at the revision settlement, as the land was utilised for dwelling house and its compound

Yours

X

19 Sec

R S 26]

File No 69-
Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to D.O.

No 8358
dtd 11.4.17

Land Revenue Secretariat
(Guntur)
, *the* 19

To

Date of issue 11.4.17

Signed by _ Our Knox

My dear Sir

I beg to invite attention to my D.O letter No 7770 dt 21-3-17

~~Village, Bargaon Taluk~~ connection with ~~building~~ which Raja Venugopal Bahadur of Madras intends to construct ~~a bungalow~~, and to request you to be so good as to expedite your reply to enable me to forward the information therein called for without any further delay

Yours Sincerely
Illegible

To B J Kumaraswamy Clark Esq, I.C.S
Deputy Comm[r], Bargaon

SUBJECT

Restamp 11/4/17

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPS—30,000—26-2-1d

20-21

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 11th April 1911

Dear Sir,

In reply to your D O letter No 7770 dated the 21st March 1911 in re the particulars of the land which Raja Venugopal Bahadur of Madras intends to construct a Bungalow, I am to state that the correct area and assessment of Revision Survey No 6 of Malenahalli village are 3 acres and 37 guntas and Rs 23/- respectively as previously reported in my D O letter No 463 dated 7th March 1911 The area of survey Nos 10 and 11 of the said village as per revised Akarband is 2 acres and 6 guntas and 1 acre, 1 gurta respectively In the revision Survey the said numbers(10 and 11) are stated to have been classed as Kharab and the assessment thereon struck off, ~~the akarband~~ on the ground that they had been appropriated for building purposes

It is on Resurvey No 6 of the Malenahalli village that Raja Venugopal Bahadur is now building and not on Resurvey No.10

The

20-21

21

The 3 enclosures received with your D O letter under reply are herewith returned

Yours Sincerely,

To

K.Mylar Rao, Esq.,

Assistant Secretary to Government,

Genl and Rev Depts

G. R. S. 12
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 64 of 1910

Serial No.

from the S. - Dept

26731.

Lg
Submitted with the opinion of Branch II.
Mrm 19 mj
25/3/11

50 Please put up a draft D O to the Survey Supt
27-3-11 Mle

51 Draft D.O. put up.
Mrm mj
29/3/11

52 Issue as once
29-3-11 Mle

To the Supt of Inter Survey in Vayaore. No. 811783
30-3-11.

53 Enquire whether at the time of the re-survey a building existed on S No 6 of Irulanchalle and if so whether the portion containing the building was sub-divided and its assessment struck off in accordance with the G.O. of 1906 and in the latter case how much of the land had been removed from the description of assessed land.
From the above officer. No. 1093 1-6 1911

54 Furnishes the information called for above.

55 It will be seen from the Survey

Note—Contin sheet

GPB—11,000—27-4-09

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 69 of 19 11

Serial No.

Survey Supt's letter that portions of original Survey Nos 79 and 78, which had been utilized for building purposes were formed into Re-survey No 10, which measured 2 acres 6 guntas and was assessed at Rs4-8-0. This assessment was struck off at the revision settlement and the land was utilized for a dwelling house and its compound.

56 The other portions of S. Nos 79+78 continued to be agricultural lands and were numbered 6 and 9 at the resurvey

Submitted for orders

Bln (9) 4/4/11 57 No reply has been received from the Deputy Comr as yet Please put up a D.O reminder to him

5-4-11 Illegible

58 Draft put-up

Bln (9) 5/4/11 59 Issue

8-4-11 Illegible

To Pres[t], Bangalore City Mun[l] Comm[r] No. 5355 & 4-4-1911

60 Requests him to expedite the reply to this office Do. 7770 & 21-3-1911

From the above officer No. 5178 & 11 4-4-11

61 Submits the reply called for above

Mors—Contn sheet

GPB—50,00 -12-[illegible]0

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 69 of 1910

(16)

Serial No

62 The information now supplied was called for as per Dewan's orders in para 42 above Thus information has been noted in coloured pencil against the Dewan's queries and also given below for facility of reference

Re-survey No	Area A G	Assessment	Classification
6	9-37	23 0-0	Agl land
10	2-6	Assessment struck off at the re-survey	Appropriated for building purposes
11	1-1		

63 As the opinion Branch I has not been recorded this may, if approved, be sent that Branch.

BBR (19) orig
15/4/11

64 As per verbal instructions of the Asst Secy the following information was obtained from the Survey office in respect of S Nos 6, 9, 10 & 11 of Malenahalli village, Bangalore Taluk -

Resurvey No	Original S No	Kharab A G	Cultivable A G	Total A G	Assessment
6	78 & 79	2 6	7-31	9-37	23-0-0
9	do	1-39	5-31	7-30	3-8-0
10	do	0-8	1-38	2-6	4-8-0
11	100	0-12	0-29	1-1	0-8-0

The assessment of Re-survey Nos 10 and 11 was struck off at the time of the re-survey as they contain buildings. Resurvey Nos 6 & 9 are shown as

Note—Contn sheet

G R.S 12
General and Revenue Secretariat

Inl File No 69 of 1910

6524/07

Serial No

N1

cultivable in the enjoyment of Kamalamma Kom Krishnaswamy Iyengar, and the extent shown as plus Kharab in those numbers was deducted on account of hollows, tea & and not on account of any building A copy of the original survey map of Malavahalli village, also obtained from the survey office, is in the file.

Submitted for orders.

Abn (9) 19/4/11

65 The deputy Comr assures that it is on resurvey no 6 that the new building is being put up - This is an agricultural holding - The building has been partly constructed -

Dewan Peo'

18 Cr) 19 4 11 Mle.

2766

66 Please send for the District Surveyor of the Dy Comm's Office for identifying the numbers,

19/4/11

67 Put up a D O to the Dy Cr 19/4/11

21-4-11 Mle

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50 000—12 8 10

G.R.S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No. 69 of 1940

Serial No.

16

Draft D.O. petn.

Obsg 22/6/41

69 Issue
24-6-41

To the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt No 8868 27-6-41

To Request him to send the District Surveyor for identifying the Survey numbers Submitted

The District Surveyor is come

Obsg 29/6/41

The District Surveyor Gurumath Varahar has inspected these survey numbers and the buildings already constructed and the one in course of construction by Raja Venugopal Bahadur.

The old buildings stand on Survey no 10 - which and Survey no 11 have been struck off as agricultural land at the time of revision survey. Nos 6 & 9 continue to be agricultural land. Raja Venugopal's building on Survey no 6

Note—Contin sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

and the Deputy Commr can prohibit his building a Bungalow thereon. The permission of the Dy Commr is necessary before an agricultural land is appropriated for building purposes
It may be stated that the new Bungalow on Sur no 6 is being built a few yards to the North of the old buildings on Survey No. 10. The Vice President of the city Municipality has granted a license to Raja Vennygopaul to build this Bungalow. The President however stopped further Construction & referred the matter for the orders of Govt He has limited the Construction to the ground floor
Half this position of affairs is explained to Mr Doraiswamy Iyer, advocate for Raja Vennygopaul, a modus vivendi may perhaps be arrived at

Demi-official.

Note—Contin. sheet.

29/12/11

11392
2 5 11

Vide draft DO to Hugon See'y
11 5 11

Dated 6th Feb 1913

Received 10 Feb 1913
& Diary 11

D.O. No. 2255

In 1 file

My dear Sir

Bangalore Fort,

Please see the accompanying sketch map of Malleshwaram attached to this letter. Survey No 10 is the land which contains the house built by the late Deputy Commr Krishnaswamy Iyengar. This number is not assessed for agriculture

2 Survey nos 6 & 9 are assessed for agriculture

3. All the three survey numbers aforesaid have been purchased by Raja Venugopal Bahadur & though the properties still stand in the Khata of Kandasamy Iyengar & Krishnaswamy Iyengar

4 Raja Venugopal Bahadur wants to build a house on Survey No 6 the building which has been already

Who is consequently their present owner

partially constructed is a
four years the rate of
the old buildings in Survey

No 10
5 Raja Veerapet Pakadam's
new building is designed
to be [illegible] high with a
tower [illegible] above ground level being
said to be 51 feet

6 It is feared that this
high building might overlook and thereby interfere with the privacy of
the Palace grounds and Garden
constituting the Covenant Property,
and the question is whether
permission should be granted
for the construction of such a
high building

7 The municipal Co has
application presented by M/s C S
Doraiswami Iyer on behalf of
Raja Veerapet, the Municipal
Vice-President granted the
usual license but this is however
inoperative as the land is assessed
for agriculture and consequently
the deputy Commissioner is
also necessary, and indeed should have been first obtained.

8 I wish to know whether in
really there is objection the

25

Enclosure (a copy)

Enclosure of a copy of a letter like this are prepared. If there is objection, the Dept's permission will either be refused altogether or will be granted conditional on the house being limited to a single floor in each & height as will not overlook the Palace grounds.

Where would you remain the question whether the front of the house could be enforced without recourse to a civil court in case they are violated in the future. In that case I should be loth to have would seem to be preferable. This is a kind of letter I have frequently had to write in the Kuwait & Hail works in the matter. The file on the subject is also necessary for reference & so I should return yours first.

Yours sincerely

(Dowson)

26 VI

A Condition has enforced attached to which the permission is granted

Further question will be considered in due course in consultation with the Govt Advocate.

In meantime

rough
Inl69-10

D.O.No. 2255/En 1/51 "Ananda Asrama"

Perahill, 4th May 1911

My dear Sir

ease see the resurvey village map of Malenhalli village, ore taluk, attached to this letter Survey No 10 is the land which contains the house built by the late Deputy Commissioner Krishnaswamiengar This number is not assessed for agriculture

2 Survey Nos.6 and 9 are assessed for agriculture.

3. All the three survey numbers aforesaid have been purchased by Raja Venugopal Bahadur, who is consequently their present owner, though the properties still stand in the Khate of Kamalamma, widow of Krishnaswamiengar.

4. Raja Venugopal Bahadur wants to build a house on survey No.6. The building which has been already partially constructed is a few yards to the north of the old buildings on survey No 10

5 Raja Venugopal Bahadur's new building is designed to be two storeys high with a tower, the total height above ground level being said to be 51 feet

It is feared that this high building might overlook and thereby interfere with the privacy of the Palace grounds and garden constituting the cocoanut tope &c., and the question is whether permission should be granted for the construction of such a lofty build

7. On an application presented by Mr. C.S. Doraswamy Iyer on behalf of Raja Venugopal, the Municipal Vice-President granted the usual license. This is however inoperative as the land is assessed for agriculture, and consequently the Deputy Commissioner's sanction is also necessary, and indeed should have been first obtained.

8. I wish to know whether really there is objection to the construction of a lofty building like the one proposed. If there is objection, the Deputy Commissioner's permission will either be refused altogether or will be granted conditional on the house being limited to a single floor or such a height as will not overlook the Palace grounds.

9. There would still remain the question whether the conditions on which such permission is granted could be enforced without recourse to a Civil Court, in case they are violated in the future. In that case absolute prohibition would seem to be preferable. This further question will be considered in due course in consultation with the Government Advocate.

10. Meantime kindly let me know His Highness' wishes in the matter. The file on the subject is also herewith sent for reference and kind return.

Yours sincerely
Sd) T Ananda Rao

P. Raghavendra Rao Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Huzur Secretary to His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

G.R.S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

File No. 69 of 1919

D Rapha -

2639 25

Put up to note to this dept.

No 381/Pte

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mawbray Cottage
Jamshedpur
30 8 11

81 Rates

My dear Sir

In returning herewith the file of correspondence regarding a house to Rajah Venugopaul Bahadur proposed to be erected in the Bellary Road, I regret to have been given to understand by your letter No 2253 of the 24th August that His Highness

Secy
1 Grant permission
2 Prescribe a form of application for converting agricultural land to non agricultural purposes.
In the present case the fault originated in the application and if I were the Dy Comm I should have

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-19

G R S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

G R S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 6a

Note—Contn sheet

Note—Contn sheet

G R S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mc File No. 69 of 1910

Serial No.	
	Have summarily rejected it in the first instance. (Sd.) J. A. R 31 March 1911.
	B. I 1) Issue orders accordingly 2) Treat the question separately and push up a draft. (Sd.) G. S. B 2.6.1911
	Draftg. a letter in ordy 1st Cr) 9 6 11 Mee A/K Sec'y 9.6.1911
3648 11.6.11	approved KKA 11/6/11
	Issue CM 12/6/11
10	To the Secy Commr & Press, Bangalore City through the Mee 13-6-11 Council. No. 10185-/Mnl 69-10 dt 12-6-1911. Informs him that Raja Thungopal Bahadur of Madras may be permitted to proceed with the construction of his house on Old Resurvey No. 6 of Malenahalli village - and observes that the case was treated in anything but a

Note— Comin. sheet

Serial No

business like manner by the Municipal executive and other officers concerned and that it resulted in placing the Govt in a very undignified position.

86 Draft issued and file submitted for orders May this now be sent to Branch I for taking action on Dewan's order in para 80 above?

Mrs mg 87 Yes
24/6/14 26 6·11 Mlle

BI

88 The necessary when as per para 80 of these notes has been taken in d R 175 of 1911 (Sl no 1) and a note of 6-3-12 published in the Mysore Gazette (Vide page 65 (part I of the Mysore Gazette of 7-3-12). The file may now be returned to branch IV

subd
Th
SR 8K
6/4/12 (97)

Mlle

Returned to Branch IV
CA
7/4/12

7/4/12 SR Received the f

ja Venugopal Bahadur urging for an early rel[illegible] of the matter [illegible] with a copy of reply sent to him

To the Dy Kumarasami Naik Dy Collr No 7770 Dy 24.3.11.

Returns the plan received with remarks and Calls for further information as to whether it is on S No 6 and not on S No 10 to which Raja Venugopal Bahadur intends to build

To the Supdg Rev. Sundry. No 8117 Dy 30 3 1911

Enquires whether at the time of the re-survey a building existed on S No 6 of Malena-halli and if so whether the portion containing it was at the time sub divided and assessment struck off and if later how much of the land has been removed from assessed lands

7.B) From Mr D. Shamarao Supdg Rev. Sundry. No dated the 18th April 1911

Furnishes the information as gathered from office records

7.C) To Mr Bg Kumaraswamy Naik Dy. Collr No 8355 dated the 11th April 1911.

Requests him to expedite his reply to rem.

8) From the Dy Collr, Bangalore Dist D.O No dated the 11th April 1911.

Furnishes the information called for in remark 7

9) To Mr Bg Kumaraswamy Naik Dy Collr No 8866 Dy 27 4 1911

Requests him to send the draft, &c.

the Office for identifying the survey Numbers on which the new building is being built

b) To the Dy. Com^r - Presidents, Mangalore Cty, p25 Munic Council No. 10185 Dy 14.6.911.

informing him that permission may be granted to proceed with the construction of the building referred to and observes that the case was handled in anything but a business like manner by the Municipal secretaries and other officers concerned and that it resulted in placing the Govt. in a very undesirable position -

---

Recorded by Mr. Gilchrist
on 23/5/1912